Nothing Left Behind: Quantifying Hygienically Clean Removes All Doubt
Efforts to prevent infection pay off when infection rates decline. Efforts to launder reusable textiles hygienically clean, contributing to infection prevention, succeed when their pathogen content is reduced to the point that it’s insufficient to cause human illness. Some observers contend there is no need to identify this point: that laundry processes far exceed whatever it is, easily destroying any risk. They say it isn’t worth routinely assessing microbial content of clean laundry and they point out that there is no widely accepted measure of “clean,” anyway.

Drawing on more than 100 years of experience with large-scale laundering processes, and as the source of the most significant specialty procedures developed for laundering for healthcare facilities, TRSA the association for linen, uniform and facility services, disagrees completely with these conclusions. Infection control professionals, in light of their recent history of assessing processes and outcomes, should also bristle at this logic.

A key point in this history was 1995, when a report evaluating such assessment in nosocomial infection control and prevention was released by the Quality Indicator Study Group, formed by the:

- Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America
- Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology
- Surgical Infection Society

The report highlighted the need to consider both process and outcome measures to avoid nosocomial infection. An example: urinary tract infection rates. Simply calculating this outcome in a hospital isn’t enough to determine whether hospitalization there causes these maladies. It’s critical to correlate infection with catheterization and other processes to establish the link.

Twenty years later, Hygienically Clean certification is in lock-step with this concept. The program correlates processes (adherence to specific best laundry practices verified by inspection) with outcomes (textile product cleanliness verified by microbial testing).

Inspired by other professional associations, consumers and thought leaders, as well as regulatory and accrediting bodies, Hygienically Clean recognizes the many patient safety stakeholders’ need to maximize the synergy of shared interests and resources to further control infection.

DEFINING HYGIENICALLY CLEAN

This is no mystery: Hygienically Clean is an established threshold that guides the reduction of pathogens on textile products to levels that pose no threat of human illness, according to the Certification Association for Professional Textile Services Administration (CAPTSA), which has served its 400 members in 15 European countries, Japan, China and United Arab Emirates for nearly 50 years.

The microbial levels established by CAPTSA and the European Union (EU) for laundered textiles 30+ years ago [20 colony forming units (CFU) per square decimeter for healthcare] serve as the basis for Hygienically Clean certification. Adoption of such a clear and universal maximum is the best way to introduce to North America the protocols of the EU, which require testing at various stages of laundering to indicate that clean textiles are free of pathogens in sufficient numbers to cause human illness.

This paper explains the basis for establishing and validating levels that define hygienically clean; and documents the emerging importance to the U.S. healthcare industry of quantifying and verifying textile hygiene.

TRSA’s acceptance and support of these international standards reflect its members’ steady pursuit of continuous improvement, whether through enhanced cleanliness, increased productivity, reduced cost through energy and water conservation or simply working to deliver a better final product. TRSA members have a long history of pursuing voluntary and government designations that quantify and verify performance in many aspects of their operations.

HYGIENICALLY CLEAN IS THE RIGHT DIRECTION

When TRSA’s Healthcare Committee initiated the Healthcare Laundry Accreditation Council (HLAC) and its certification program in 2004, it was long acknowledged that proper commercial laundering formulas (calibrated time, temperature, chemistry, mechanical action) produce clean, safe reusable textiles. TRSA invested in developing HLAC to define best healthcare laundry practices.

Hygienically Clean reflects the evolution of healthcare laundry certification in light of growing global concerns about infection control, with the ultimate objective of the complete elimination—nothing left behind—of potentially harmful microbial content. Laundry processes are

correlated with measurement and documentation of the outcome of cleanliness.

The Hygienically Clean label is trustworthy because it does not certify a facility simply on the basis of following all proper policies and procedures to prevent contamination; it also requires consecutive months of independent laboratory testing before certification and ongoing testing to maintain the designation.

Building on HLAC’s legacy of approving processes, Hygienically Clean verifies their effectiveness so healthcare providers can be confident they receive high quality linen by using certified laundries (enforced as a contract provision) and/or certifying their on-premises, central or co-op laundries. Hundreds of laundries processing healthcare and other linens are already certified; any large, central laundry processing any reusable textiles is a good candidate for Hygienically Clean certification.

MEASURING OUTCOMES MATTERS

Outpatient and hospital settings and the length of time patients are exposed to textiles vary, requiring launderers to assure healthcare providers that laundered, reusable textiles pose no risk of infection. This underscores the importance of verifying performance to a cleanliness standard. Any such wavering is unacceptable to laundry customers who demand accountability and cannot afford the risk of a launderer speculating that its textiles are sanitized. Microbial measurement of clean laundry is the only assurance.

In 2011, WFK Cleaning Technology Institute, Krefeld, Germany, reported the findings of year-long quarterly testing of 10 laundries in Europe required to comply with the 20 CFU standard for healthcare. All succeeded in all quarters. A total of 10 samples were tested from each laundry in each inspection. In just one quarterly sampling (assessment of 10 samples at one laundry), the limit was not met in all 10. The other 39 samplings were perfect 10-for-10s (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Cleanliness levels of laundered textile samples

1). In fact, in more than half the samplings, the laundries scored 5 CFU or less.

WFK concluded these results demonstrate that laundries subjected to limits “can guarantee the microbiological quality of their expedition (ready for shipment) textiles.”

Half the laundries in this study are international-association-certified; the other half are EU certified. Both certifiers enforce the 20 CFM healthcare limit. But the EU is more prescriptive, requiring not only microbiological testing of expedition textiles, but similar measures in various stages of laundry processing (Table 1). “The microbiological quality achieved from the dry expedition textiles did not depend on whether the laundry was operated in accordance with the (German) criteria or had introduced the (EU) system with relevant limit values and control procedures,” WFK said.

Table 1 Microbiological requirements for test points in laundries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test point</th>
<th>RKI (only healthcare sector)</th>
<th>RABC requirement (healthcare sector)</th>
<th>RABC requirement (food industry)</th>
<th>DIN 10524 (only food industry)</th>
<th>Drinking Water Reg. 2001 [21]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disinfection</td>
<td>All test bacteria killed</td>
<td>All test bacteria killed</td>
<td>All test bacteria killed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>efficacy of washing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expedition textiles</td>
<td>20 cfu/dm²</td>
<td>20 cfu/dm²</td>
<td>50 cfu/dm²</td>
<td>50 cfu/dm²</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Consult Drinking Water Reg.</td>
<td>100 cfu/ml, no E. coli, enterococci or coliform bacteria in 100 ml</td>
<td>100 cfu/ml, no E. coli, enterococci or coliform bacteria in 100 ml</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100 cfu/ml, no E. coli, enterococci or coliform bacteria in 100 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist textiles</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 cfu/dm²</td>
<td>100 cfu/dm²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfaces close to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>textiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands of personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The researchers also noted that “it is not visible that differences in the air content of microorganisms correlated with the presence of a constructional separation” between clean and soil areas, proving that such a division “is not a prerequisite for the hygienic reprocessing of textiles.”

INTEGRAL TO ENSURING PATIENTS’ SAFETY

With patients’ health at stake and healthcare providers under financial and compliance pressures to cost-effectively deliver services, quantifiable certification is an important tool to measure performance. A May 2013 analysis by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and Urban Institute observes that “there is a consensus that scientifically rigorous and valid measurement of performance can be instrumental in improving value in U.S. healthcare. Measures have altered the culture of health care delivery for the better. Nevertheless, despite notable successes and the recent cultural change, substantial shortcomings in the quality of U.S. health care persist.”

Quoting the New England Journal of Medicine, the Institute/Foundation observes that “the United States is about 25 years into efforts to bring performance measurement into medicine.” The analysis cites this long-held hierarchy of such measurement:

Structural measures include requirements imposed by customers and regulators, such as specifications for the physical plant and management systems. Applied to laundry certification, this has historically included specifying exactly how:

- Soil and clean should be separated
- Washing machines should be tested
- Records for equipment performance should be kept

Process measures determine whether evidence-based guidelines are followed, but do not indicate attainment of a guideline’s goal (i.e., they don’t verify or quantify cleanliness):

- Verifying proof-of-delivery of wash chemistry
- Monitoring rewash rates
- Grading textile product quality

Outcome measures determine whether desired results are achieved, per Hygienically Clean:

- 20 CFU of microbial content per square decimeter of fabric (RODAC plate test)
- Complete elimination of bacteria harmful to human health (USP 62)

Hygienically Clean sets a precedent for U.S. laundry certification by adding these outcome measures to structural and process measures long mandated, regulated or suggested by public and private authorities:

- Occupational Safety & Health Administration
- American National Standards Institute (ANSI)
- Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
- Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)
- The Joint Commission (TJC), formerly the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO)

NO BETTER VERIFICATION THAN MICROBIAL TESTING

A recent white paper, “What’s Left Behind,” states that the differences between HLAC and Hygienically Clean are “largely immaterial” and that independent laboratory testing “may not be strictly necessary, but can serve to provide additional piece of mind.” This only stands to reason as TRSA created HLAC and Hygienically Clean builds upon requirements such as documentation and inspection while adding quantifiable testing.

But U.S. healthcare authorities are recognizing the value of outcome measures as opposed to structural and process measures and this trend has extended to laundry. In January 2013, a CMS memo clarified and revised the agency’s guidance involving:

Laundry detergents. “Advances in technology allow modern-day detergents to be much more effective in removing soil and reducing the presence of microbes than those used in the past when much of the research on laundry processing was first conducted. The CMS in collaboration with the CDC has determined that facilities may use any detergent designated for laundry in laundry processing. Further, laundry detergents used within nursing facilities are not required to have stated anti-microbial claims.”

Water temperatures and chlorine bleach rinses. “Laundry processing conducted within facilities typically occurs in a low water temperature environment. Many laundry items are composed of materials that cannot withstand a chlorine bleach rinse and remain intact. The chlorine bleach rinse is not required for all laundry items processed in low temperature washing environments due to the availability of modern laundry detergents that are able to produce hygienically clean laundry without the presence of chlorine bleach.”

Maintenance of equipment and laundry items. “Facilities are not required to maintain a record of water temperatures during laundry processing cycles” but must follow manufacturers’ instructions for:

- Washing machines, dryers, detergents, rinse aids, other additives
- “Clothing, linens, and other laundry items to determine the appropriate methods to use to produce a hygienically clean product.”

CMS also indicates that facilities “should have written policies and procedures which should include training for staff.

who will handle linens and laundry,” but does not prescribe such policies or procedures.

The healthcare community and large, centralized laundries are best served by independent, third-party certification programs such as Hygienically Clean and HLAC. The trend, internationally as well as domestically, is moving toward microbial testing of reusable textiles for use in healthcare facilities7. In addition to the concept’s long-standing European presence, the Institute for Sustainability and Hygiene International’s Certification Standards for Processing Reusable Linen8 (CSHLS) require it.

Lack of mandatory microbial testing under federal or state regulations in the U.S. is not a compelling reason to omit such testing from a laundry certification program. Requiring independent, third-party testing provides our customers with tangible evidence that the textiles they are using are hygienically clean, and verification that the commercial laundry process does what it is recognized by the CDC to do—result in production of hygienically clean reusable textiles.

The frequency of microbial testing selected for this certification program is not based on any federal or state regulatory requirements because none exist. No testing regimen selected would have a statistical basis as there is no database to draw from. With the existing paradigm for laundry certification, any testing frequency is an improvement over the status quo of no testing. In the Hygienically Clean program, testing is conducted in each of the first three months of certification, followed by quarterly testing if all testing in the first three months passes the established criteria.

Testing is a must to gauge cleanliness because it is a measure of product quality, not service quality. Good service meets customers’ expectations for consistent, reliable and timely provision of service, politely and respectfully, with communications they can comprehend9. Thus, service quality is often assessed using qualitative measures.

A product’s quality typically is associated with measures such as defects per unit produced, how many are returned, warranty claims per units sold and number of quality certified suppliers who produce it10. The roots of product quality lie in the producer’s ability to deliver high volumes according to expected specifications (customer requirements, in accordance with technical and regulatory requirements). Thus, quantitative tools are frequently used to measure product quality.

Here’s how Hygienically Clean fits these criteria:

1. **Quantifiable** – only certification that requires linen to be tested by an independent lab to verify they are hygienically clean

2. **Objectivity** – only certification using internationally recognized standards, independent inspection and ongoing testing as the ultimate judge of hygiene

3. **Continuous** – only certification requiring laundries to pass ongoing microbial testing demanding consistent performance

4. **ISO Guidelines** – The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) states that production processes are not reliable indicators of product quality, only product-specific testing can earn certification

5. **Internationally Recognized** – based on established international standards that can be applied around the world across all textile services customer markets

Under ISO, a laundered product cannot be considered hygienically clean because the cleaning process is certified. The product itself must be subjected to a certification standard. Only then may the product’s labeling or packaging be embellished with a certification conformity mark.

**NO HIGHER STANDARD THAN TRSA PRODUCT QUALITY**

The number of North American textile services operators acknowledging that laundered products should be held to a cleanliness standard continues to grow. This reception is not surprising; given the knowledge base on business-to-business laundering effectiveness TRSA has built since 1912. A century of unparalleled research and professional education on best practices, developed by member companies who have shared expertise for decades, led to the creation of stringent Hygienically Clean standards:

---

8. Institute for Sustainability and Hygiene International. Certification Standards for Processing Reusable Linen. MacKenzie, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, April 2011
• Complex inspection/audit criteria of laundry operations
• TRSA created all major specialty procedures developed for U.S. healthcare laundry work, including HLAC

While Hygienically Clean focuses on outcome measures (test results), it encompasses structural and process measures by allowing laundries in compliance with federal, state and professional standards to use documented standard operating procedures (SOPs) for sorting, handling, processing and finishing reusable linens and garments:

• Quality control manuals and other best business management practices
• Functional separation of clean from soil
• Washing procedures, formulas and temperatures for chemical use, rinsing, extracting and finishing
• Equipment maintenance, cleaning and housekeeping

With an operations history rooted in customer satisfaction and regulatory compliance having generated a wealth of effective processes in use throughout the linen, uniform and facility services industry, Hygienically Clean “raises the bar” for laundry transparency, sustainability and cost-effectiveness.

Hygienically Clean is the only tool for launderers to implement the first recommendation for the healthcare industry in the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation/Urban Institute report:

• Decisively move from measuring only processes to more closely examining outcomes

“The operational challenges of moving to producing accurate and reliable outcome measures are daunting but worth the commitment,” the report points out. Patients, payers, policy-makers and providers care more about end results than not the technical approaches taken to achieve desired outcomes that can vary across different organizations.

Focusing on outcome measures, the report continues, sparks engagement in broader approaches to quality improvement activities, “ideally relying on rapid-learning through root cause analysis and teamwork rather than taking on a few conveniently available process measures that are actionable but often explain little of the variation in outcomes.”

Hygienically Clean encompasses these concepts without ignoring the contribution of specific processes that are associated with achieving better outcomes. The program views every structure and process as an internal tactic, part of a comprehensive approach to achieving good outcomes, rather than as an end in itself.

Every healthcare facility should strongly encourage that their laundry, regardless of whether it is a commercial, co-op or on-premise laundry, verify compliance and quantify cleanliness. Medical providers who outsource should require continued certification in their RFPs and laundry service contracts. Hundreds of laundries in virtually every regional healthcare market across the United States and Canada are currently maintaining their certifications, shouldn’t your laundry?
7.3. Probationary Period

7.3.1. The probationary period ends when three consecutive months of bacteriological testing meet Minimum Microbiological Performance Specifications and the plant has passed inspection. Bacteriological testing on textile items (flat and terry) will be conducted in each of the first three months. After initial samples meet microbiological criteria, textile samples shall be selected on a rotating basis such that in the first three months, six different textile items are tested. Plants will be allowed to resubmit/re-test one failed test result in the probationary period. If a failed test result is received, the plant must immediately resubmit the same item for testing to the same test lab.

7.4. Quality Control Microbial Testing

7.4.1. After successful completion of the precertification period, microbial testing will be conducted on a quarterly basis.

7.4.2. Four times yearly, two textile items will be submitted by plant personnel to an approved laboratory for bacteriological testing. Samples shall be selected on a rotating basis, with a goal of testing twenty-eight (28) different textile items at least once in the first three-year period.

7.4.3. Should any textile item fail quarterly bacteriological testing, TRSA may require that product to be one of the textiles tested quarterly until it is reasonably assured there are no compliance issues.

8.1 Quality Control Microbial Testing

All testing shall be done by a Hygienically Clean-approved laboratory that is accredited by any accreditation body recognized under the ILAC MRA (Mutual Recognition Arrangement) or recognized by federal or state agencies for microbiological testing. Examples of acceptable third-party accreditation bodies include the International Accreditation Service (IAS), American Association of Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA), and ANSI-ASQ National Accreditation Board doing business as ACLASS. Laboratories recognized by federal or state agencies such as EPA, FDA, Department of Agriculture, CDC, CPSC, and OSHA are also approved.

8.2. Minimum Microbiological Performance Specifications

Microbial testing shall be performed using the following test methods: RODAC Plate count quarterly and United States Pharmacopeia (USP) 62 semi-annually. United States Pharmacopeia (USP) 62 - Microbiological Enumeration of Nonsterile Products: Microbial Examination Tests. See current pass/fail criteria below. Methods and criteria are continuously reviewed and subject to revision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RODAC Plate Test</th>
<th>Pass/Fail Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total aerobic microbial count (TAMC)</td>
<td>Acceptance criterion for microbiological quality: ≤ 20 cfu per square decimeter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Method USP 62</th>
<th>Pass/Fail Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specified Microorganisms</td>
<td>Acceptance criterion for microbiological quality: Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>